

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,414	09/16/2003	Patrick Casara	MO1681USCNT2	2712
5487	7590 11/17/2004		EXAMINER	
ROSS J. OE	HLER HARMACEUTICALS IN	BERCH, MARK L		
ROUTE 202-		C.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MAIL CODE: D303A			1624	
BRIDGEWATER, NJ 08807			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/663,414	CASARA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Mark L. Berch	1624	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication			-
A SHO THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory or te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of third period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communicatio BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status	·			
2a) <u>□</u> 3) <u>□</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncondition.	This action is non-final. Iowance except for formal matt	• •	S
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7 and 9</u> is/are with Claim(s) <u>1-6,8 and 10-13</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>1-6,8 and 10-13</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>is/are objected to.</u> Claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and the subject to restrict the subject the subject the subject to restrict the subject the subject t</u>	ithdrawn from consideration.	,	
Applicati	on Papers			
10) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar correction is required if the drawing.	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c	d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12)⊠ <i>/</i> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Butter the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment	:(s)	•		•
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No(s	iummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	•

Application/Control Number: 10/663,414 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 7, 9, drawn to purines, classified in class 544, subclass 244.
- II. Claims (none), drawn to pyrimidines, classified in class 544, subclass 243.

Claims 1-6, 8, 10-13 link inventions I and II. These claims are examined to the extent that they read on the elected invention.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The purines and pyrimidines have clear structural differences, as the former are fused heterocycles and the latter are not.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mark Nelligan on 6/30/2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 1-6, 8, 10-13 (all in part). Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 7 and 9 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/663,414

Art Unit: 1624

Claims 1-6, 8, 10-13 are rejected as being drawn to an improper Markush Group.

The claims are drawn to multiple inventions for reasons set forth in the above requirement for restriction. This does not constitute an art recognized genus. The claims are examined only to the extent that they read on the elected invention.

Cancellation of the non-elected subject matter will overcome the rejection.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6, 8, 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 1. The angled lines for Wd do not make sense. If applicants intend this to depict a triple bond, then the bonds cannot be angled; triple bonds are linear.
- 2. The choice of T or Z as "nothing" makes no sense. If it is nothing, then the molecule breaks in two. Applicants presumably mean "bond" or "valence bond" and that claim language should be used.
- 3. The last word of the second proviso is missing a letter.
- 4. The second R6 choice has a slash in it. That means, C1 alkyl or C10 alkyl, since slash means "or". Applicants should consider whether that is their true intention. If applicants decide to change this to 1-10, applicants must show that one of ordinary skill in the art would have known that this choice, and not 1 or 10, was intended.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,414

Art Unit: 1624

- 5. Claims 11 and 12 duplicate claim 1. "For use" is just a statement of intention, a mental act. It is still the same compound, regardless of what plans are envisioned for its subsequent use.
- 6. Claim 13 provides for the use of the compound, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Specification

The abstract is objected to as too vague. Suggested is the two chemical structures, plus the definitions of W, T and Z.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark L. Berch whose telephone number is 571-272-0663. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:15 - 3:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on (571)272-0674. If you are unable to reach Dr. Shah within a 24 hour period, please contact James O. Wilson, Acting-SPE of 1624 at 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark L. Berch Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

11/9/04